

**Subpart G—Review and Assessment of State Agency Compliance With Job Service Regulations**

AUTHORITY: Wagner-Peyser Act of 1933, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 49 *et seq.* 5 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*

**§ 658.600 Scope and purpose of subpart.**

This subpart sets forth the regulations governing review and assessment of State agency compliance with the Job Service regulations at 20 CFR parts 601, 602, 603, 604, 620, 621, 651–658 and 29 CFR part 8. All recordkeeping and reporting requirements contained in parts 653 and 658 have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

**§ 658.601 State agency responsibility.**

(a) Each State agency shall establish and maintain a self-appraisal system for job service operations to determine success in reaching goals and to correct deficiencies in performance. The self-appraisal system shall include numerical (quantitative) appraisal and non-numerical (qualitative) appraisal.

(1) Numerical appraisal at the local office level shall be conducted as follows:

(i) Performance shall be measured on a quarterly-basis against planned service levels as stated in the State Program and Budget Plan (PBP). The State plan shall be consistent with numerical goals contained in local office plans.

(ii) To appraise numerical activities/indicators, actual results as shown on the Employment Security Automated Reporting System (ESARS) tables and Cost Accounting Reports shall be compared to planned levels. Variances between achievement and plan shall be identified.

(iii) When the numerical appraisal of required activities/indicators identifies significant variances from planned levels, additional analysis shall be conducted to isolate possible contributing factors. This data analysis shall include, as appropriate, comparisons to past performance, attainment of PBP

goals and consideration of pertinent non-numerical factors.

(iv) Results of local office numerical reviews shall be documented and significant deficiencies identified. A corrective action plan as described in paragraph (a)(6) shall be developed to address these deficiencies.

(v) The result of local office appraisal, including corrective action plans, shall be communicated in writing to the next higher level of authority for review. This review shall cover adequacy of analysis, appropriateness of corrective actions, and need for higher level involvement. When this review is conducted at an area or district office, a report describing local office performance within the area or district jurisdiction shall be communicated to the central office on a quarterly basis.

(2) Numerical appraisal at the central office level shall be conducted as follows:

(i) Performance shall be measured on a quarterly basis against planned service levels as stated in the State Program and Budget Plan (PBP). The State plan shall be consistent with numerical goals contained in local office plans.

(ii) To appraise these key numerical activities/indicators, actual results as shown on the Employment Security Automated Reporting System (ESARS) tables and Cost Accounting Reports shall be compared to planned levels. Variances between achievement and plan shall be identified.

(iii) The central office shall review Statewide data, and performance against planned service levels as stated in the State Program and Budget Plan (PBP) on at least a quarterly basis to identify significant Statewide deficiencies and to determine the need for additional analysis, including identification of trends, comparisons to past performance, and attainment of PBP goals.

(iv) Results of numerical reviews shall be documented and significant deficiencies identified. A corrective action plan as described in paragraph (a)(5) of this section shall be developed to address these deficiencies. These plans shall be submitted to the ETA Regional Office as part of the periodic